

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,633	04/10/2006	Ozkan Yalkinoglu	Le A 36 293	3695
35969 7590 02/17/2009 Barbara A. Shimci Director, Patents & Licensing Bayer HealthCare LLC - Pharmaceuticals 555 White Plains Road, Third Floor			EXAMINER	
			BASS, DIRK R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, NY 10591			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/525.633 YALKINOGLU ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DIRK BASS 4132 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/525,633 Page 2

Art Unit: 4132

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains
the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a
single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8 and 11-16, drawn to a method of assessing the state of Alzheimer's disease.

Group II, claim(s) 9, drawn to a kit comprising polypeptides.

Group III, claim(s) 10, drawn to a kit comprising fragments of at least 5 amino acids.

Examiner's Comment: claim 2, if not amended, will be rejected as reciting narrower and broader limitation in the same claim.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature, polypeptides which are Alzheimer's disease-specific marker polypeptides, linking groups I-III, is disclosed by Nitsch et al., WO 00/25138. Nitsch ('138) teaches polypeptides such as Human cystatin C (MW ~ 13.4 kD) as Alzheimer's disease-specific markers (pg. 33 last paragraph pg. 37, line 3), thus the special technical feature of the claimed invention is not found to define a contribution over the prior art.
- 3. A. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. The species are as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/525,633

Art Unit: 4132

All molecular masses as listed in claims 1 and 9.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

B. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. The species are as follows:

All sequence IDs as listed in claim 3.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

C. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. The species are as follows:

Human cystatin C:

Human beta-2-microglobulin;

Human myoglobulin (new variant);

Neurosecretory protein VGF;

A fragment of at least 5 amino acids of human cystatin C:

A fragment of at least 5 amino acids of human beta-2-microglobulin;

A fragment of at least 5 amino acids of human myoglobulin (new variant);

A fragment of at least 5 amino acids of neurosecretory protein VGF.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply Application/Control Number: 10/525,633

Art Unit: 4132

must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

D. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. The species are as follows:

A fragment of at least 5 amino acids of human cystatin C;

A fragment of at least 5 amino acids of human beta-2-microglobulin;

A fragment of at least 5 amino acids of human myoglobulin (new variant);

A fragment of at least 5 amino acids of neurosecretory protein VGF.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:
 - A. Species of molecular masses found in claims 1 and 9;
 - B. Species of sequence IDs 1-17 found in claim 3:
 - C. Species c-i listed above found in claim 4; and
 - D. Species k-n listed above found in claim 10.
- The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1, 3, 4, 9, and 10.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: no technical features could be identified that form a technical relationship among each of the species stated above

Application/Control Number: 10/525,633

Art Unit: 4132

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

 A telephone call was made to William Gray on 11 February 2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DIRK BASS whose telephone number is (571)270-7370. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 10am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 570-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Application/Control Number: 10/525,633 Page 6

Art Unit: 4132

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

2/9/2009

/Yelena G. Gakh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

/DRB/

Dirk R. Bass